

www.eternalegypt.org

Although it is advised to avoid intros. The intro in eternalegypt is very important. It provides:

site name

three languages

text-only version

technical help

Names of the three organizations

Theres an option to skip the intro in the future

1. Transparent

eternalegypt.org is **VERY** transparent. Examples:

	YES	NO	N.A.
Site Name appears on browser title bar (topmost line of browser)	•		
The active part of the site appears on browser title bar	•		
Site name is clearly displayed in a prominent manner on home page	•		
Site name indicates purpose and nature of site	•		
Site URL is indicative of the purpose of the site	•		
Mission statement exists	•		
Mission statement appears on front page		•	
Mission statement available in multiple languages	•		
Easy to switch mission statement languages	•		
Organisation name is prominently displayed	•		
Any animation or visual display can be bypassed	•		

Mission statement includes:

- the subject of the Website
- the most important content on the site
- the organisation responsible for maintaining the site and
- (optionally) the target audience.

Eternalegypt.org has a mission statement (about eternalegypt) written and on video

2. Effective

Examples:

Home page always available

All hyperlinks work

Site search facility in place

3. **Maintained**

- There are no progress reports (information is static) that's why no need for maintaining content.
- Eternalegypt.org doesn't get a new look every 6 months as the book says - con

4. **Accessible**

- There's a text-only version (to avoid problems with multimedia) - pro
- The visually impaired and people with learning and reading difficulty were taken into consideration through The text-to-speech facility - pro
- Two plug-ins are used (flash and quicktime) – con
- Multimedia used only when necessary - pro

5. **User-centered**

- Allows users to comment and provide feedback

6. **responsive**

- there's a question-asking facility but Is there a policy for answering the questions? Is there someone responsible??
- There's no user forum (although the topics are rich) - con

7. **Multi-lingual**

- Eng., fr, ar
- No sign language
- Simple switching between languages

8. **Managed**

- It has 'terms of use'
- Images are invisibly water marked for copyrights

9. **Interoperable and 10. Preserved**

Technical principles (cannot comment)

www.Cultnat.org

Transparent

- Site name clearly displayed on Home Page - pro
- No intro, no unnecessary Multimedia - pro
- Mission statement about cultnat not the website – con

Effective

- Hyperlinks work
- Site map still not available - con
- No crumbtrail - con
- No site search facility – con

Maintained

- Last update was in 2005 although there progress reports – con

Accessible

- No assistive technology for physically challenged people
- It supports Explorer 5.0 & above. What about other operating systems?
- One Plug-in required (shockwave player)

User-centered

- Theres a feedback facility

Responsive

- User forum available but still under construction.

Multi-lingual

- Sign language
- Switching between languages is NOT easy

Managed

- No terms of use

www.gocp.gov. الهيئة العامة لقصور الثقافة

- Two intros. First intro is confusing because the GOCP's magazine (shown on the intro) is clickable too
- Site is not multi-lingual
- URL is NOT indicative of the site purpose (transparency)
- Site name is not shown on title bar
- Site map available but nothing is clickable

www.mkm.gov.eg متحف محمود خليل

- Site name doesn't appear on title bar
- Site is multi lingual but Switching between languages is not available inside pages
- URL is not indicative of the purpose. It should be something like:
www.mahmoudkhalil.museum
- Images are available in thumbnails
- Homepage is always available
- No crumbtrail